Italy

Daniela Andreatta Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe

www.practicallaw.com/8-501-9255

SECURITY AND PRIORITIES

1. What are the most common forms of security granted in relation to immovable and movable property? Are any specific formalities required for their creation and perfection (that is, made valid and enforceable)?

Immovable property

The most common forms of security over immovable property are:

- Mortgage (*ipoteca immobiliare*). A creditor often uses a mortgage to secure rights over immovable property. A mortgage is by far the most common form of security taken over immovable property.
- Special lien (*privilegio speciale*). Special liens arise automatically by operation of law over certain claims. Claims over immovable property which benefit from special liens include:
 - claims for expenses incurred in foreclosing an asset;
 - claims for expenses incurred in conserving an asset;
 - tax claims on the asset; and
 - claims for non-performance of a property sale agreement.

This means that, for example, a person who incurs costs in conserving an immovable asset has a claim secured by special lien over the asset itself. A special lien gives the creditor a right of preference in relation to the proceeds of sale of the asset. If the debtor defaults, the creditor can foreclose the asset, and be paid with preference.

Movable property

The most common forms of security over movable property are:

- Pledge (*pegno*). Creditors often use a pledge to secure their rights over movable property. Pledges are the most common form of security over movable property.
- Mortgage over registered movable goods (*ipoteca su beni* mobili registrati). A creditor can use a mortgage to secure registered movable property (for example, ships, aircraft and motor vehicles).
- General lien (*privilegio generale*). A general lien differs from a special lien because it must apply to all of the debtor's assets, and can only apply to movable property. The following claims are among those which are automatically protected by a general lien:
 - employee salary claims;
 - social security claims; and
 - certain tax claims.

- Special lien (*privilegio speciale*). A special lien can cover just a specific movable asset. It arises by law, as with a special lien over immovable property (*see above, Immovable property: Special lien (privilegio speciale)*). Claims over movable property which benefit from special liens include:
 - claims for expenses incurred in foreclosing an asset;
 - claims for expenses incurred in conserving an asset;
 - rent claims (the lien applies to assets located at the leased property, for example, the goods on sale at a leased shop); and
 - claims for the price of a car (the special lien applies to the car).

Formalities

The formalities required depend on the type of security:

- Mortgage. A mortgage must be filed with the registry where the relevant (movable or immovable) assets are registered. For example, a mortgage over real estate must be filed with the real estate register where the property is located. Filing creates a right of preference for the mortgagee, which renders the mortgage enforceable against third parties, including purchasers of the asset or creditors attaching to it. If more than one mortgage is registered against an asset, the priority of the mortgages is ranked according to filing dates.
- Pledge. A pledgor perfects a pledge by delivering the relevant asset to the creditor. If the value of the pledged claim exceeds EUR2.58 (about US\$3.57), the pledge must:
 - be in writing; and
 - have a certified date. The most common way of obtaining a certified date is to execute the relevant document before a notary public, or to execute it by exchanging the originals via registered mail.

Additional formalities apply to pledges over certain assets such as receivables, intellectual property rights and securities.

- Lien. Liens cannot be created contractually. Instead, certain claims benefit automatically from a special or general lien (*see above, Immovable property* and *Movable property*). As liens arise automatically, no formalities are required.
- 2. Where do creditors and shareholders rank on the insolvency of a company?

The following priority of payments applies during insolvency proceedings (*Article 111, Royal Decree Number 267 of 16 March 1942 (Bankruptcy Law)*):

- Preferential claims. These are necessary costs and expenses that the trustee and the court incur during insolvency proceedings. They include professional fees and other debts incurred after the debtor files its bankruptcy petition, including debts incurred in connection with the trustee continuing its business.
- Secured claims. These are claims which are secured by mortgages, pledges or liens (*see Question 1*). If the proceeds from the sale of the asset which is secured by a mortgage, pledge or lien are not sufficient to repay the creditor, the creditor is ranked as an unsecured creditor for the unpaid part of the claim (*see below, General unsecured claims*).
- General unsecured claims. These are claims which creditors incurred before the bankruptcy petition was filed, over which they do not hold perfected security. Unsecured creditors typically receive a pro rata share of the distributed funds and rights, after all senior claims have been satisfied.
- Subordinated unsecured claims. These are claims which have been subordinated by a pre-bankruptcy agreement or a court order, under the principles of equitable subordination.

Shareholders' loans will be subordinated if they are extended during a period in which the company:

- showed a material financial imbalance; or
- would have needed equity rather than debt due to its financial difficulties.

Repayments of shareholders' loans made in the year before bankruptcy must be returned to the trustee in bankruptcy, provided they qualified as subordinated shareholders' loans.

 Shareholders. Shareholders do not typically receive a distribution from an insolvent estate. If funds are available for shareholders, holders of preferred shares typically take priority over ordinary shareholders.

See Question 6, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento).

3. Are there any mechanisms used by trade creditors to secure unpaid debts?

In addition to mortgages, liens and pledges (*see Question 1*), trade creditors usually use the following mechanisms to secure unpaid debts:

- Claim assignment (cessione dei crediti). The debtor assigns more or one of its claims to the creditor, with or without consideration, either instead of payment or as security for its payment obligations. A debtor can assign any claim other those which:
 - are strictly personal; or
 - cannot legally be transferred (for example, alimony claims, claims for salaries of public-sector employees, and claims for family support).

After the assignment, the creditor owns the claim and all relevant attachments such as security interests.

An assignment is valid even if the third party debtor is not notified of it. However, this notification must take place for the assignment to be enforceable in relation to third parties (including the third party debtor and the trustee in bankruptcy). Special rules apply to the assignment of:

- tax claims; and
- claims relating to public entities.
- Credit risk insurance (*contratto di assicurazione*). Under a credit risk agreement, the creditor is insured against the debtor's default. The debtor is the policyholder.
- Guarantee (*fidejussione*). A third party guarantees the performance of the debtor's obligations, by becoming jointly bound with the debtor in relation to the creditor. A guarantee is only valid if:
 - the underlying obligation is valid;
 - it does not guarantee more than the amount that the creditor owes the debtor; and
 - it is granted with conditions that are no less onerous than those of the underlying obligation.

Public entities and financial institutions can use a special financial guarantee (*garanzia finanziaria*), which gives the creditor special foreclosure powers.

- Retention of title (contratto di vendita con riserva della proprietà). If a retention of title clause is in place, title to the goods only passes to the buyer once it has paid the purchase price in full. A retention of title agreement is enforceable against third parties if it:
 - is in writing; and
 - has a certified date (see Question 1, Formalities: Pledge).

If the retention of title clause covers machinery with a price of over EUR15.49 (about US\$21.5), it must be filed with the relevant local registry.

4. Are there any procedures (other than the formal rescue or insolvency procedures described in *Question 6*) that can be invoked by creditors to recover their debt?

As long as insolvency or other rescue proceedings have not started (*see Question 6*), a creditor can bring the following civil actions against a debtor:

- Debt collection proceedings (processo monitorio). These are quick proceedings which creditors can use if their claim is based on written evidence. The proceedings end with the court issuing a payment order, which allows the creditor to foreclose the debtor's assets (subject to certain court formalities). Generally, the court issues a payment order within about three months. If the debtor objects to the payment order, these proceedings automatically turn into ordinary proceedings (see below, Ordinary proceedings).
- **Ordinary proceedings.** Creditors can use ordinary proceedings to obtain a legal assessment of the amounts owed.

146 PLCCROSS-BORDER HANDBOOKS www.practicallaw.com/restructurehandbook

They generally last from two to four years, so creditors only tend to use them when other remedies are unavailable.

- Special proceedings to execute unpaid pledges and mortgages (procedura esecutiva su beni ipotecati o pignorati). Creditors can use special proceedings to enforce mortgages or pledges over assets. The court sells the relevant assets, by public tender or a private sale process. The creditor cannot take possession of the assets directly, but it can "credit bid" during a public tender, provided that:
 - no other creditors took part in the foreclosure proceedings; and
 - no bids were submitted to the court during the sale process.
- Special proceedings to enforce a financial guarantee (procedura esecutiva su beni oggetto di garanzia finanziaria). Creditors can use special proceedings to enforce an unpaid claim which is secured by a financial guarantee (see Question 3, Guarantee (fidejussione)). The proceedings allow them to sell the secured asset directly, or require the debtor to assign it to them at a reasonable value.

STATE SUPPORT

5. Please give brief details of the availability of state support for distressed businesses (if any).

In response to the financial crisis, in 2009 the Italian government created a financial relief programme for families, businesses and banks (*pacchetto anti crisi*). The relief for businesses includes a decrease in the required advance payment of corporate taxes due in 2009. The relief for banks was in the form of convertible bonds, issued by the banks and subscribed to by the state. However, most banks have not used this instrument, because their financial position did not require urgent liquidity injections and the bonds were considered to be too expensive.

RESCUE AND INSOLVENCY PROCEDURES

- 6. Please briefly describe rescue and insolvency procedures available in your jurisdiction. In each case, please state:
- The objective of the procedure and, where relevant, prospects for recovery.
- How it is initiated, when, by whom and the companies it can be applied to.
- Substantive tests that apply (where relevant).
- How long it takes.
- The consents and approvals required.
- The effect on the company, shareholders and creditors.
- How the procedure is formally concluded.

Insolvency proceedings (fallimento)

 Objective. Insolvency proceedings are the main restructuring procedure set out in the Bankruptcy Law, which all other types of rescue proceedings derive from. The aim is to satisfy creditors by realising the debtor's assets and distributing the proceeds to creditors.

- How, when, by whom and to which companies. Insolvency proceedings can be initiated by one of the following parties filing a petition with the clerk of the Bankruptcy Court:
 - a creditor;
 - the debtor; or
 - the public prosecutor, if he acquires knowledge of the debtor's insolvency, for example, from a report by a civil judge who learns of the insolvency during civil proceedings.

The Bankruptcy Court is the local court situated where the company has its registered office. Courts located in big cities have separate bankruptcy divisions, but in most cases, Bankruptcy Courts are not separate from other courts.

Company directors must file promptly for insolvency when a company becomes insolvent (*see below, Substantive tests* and *Question 8*).

Insolvency proceedings are available for any insolvent undertaking (*impresa*), including corporations, limited liability companies and partnerships, provided that:

- the total annual value of its assets in the three financial years before filing the petition (or from its date of incorporation, if this was less than three years ago) exceeds EUR300,000 (about US\$415,730);
- the total annual value of its gross revenues in the three financial years before filing the petition (or from its date of incorporation, if this was less than three years ago) exceeds EUR200,000 (about US\$277,150); or
- the total value of its liabilities (including debts not yet due) exceeds EUR500,000 (about US\$692,885).

The following entities are not eligible for a bankruptcy:

- individuals;
- commercial farms;
- public entities;
- insurance companies, fiduciary companies and banking and financial institutions (these entities are subject to insolvency schemes governed by relevant supervisory authorities); and
- in most cases, co-operatives and joint ventures (these entities are typically subject to separate insolvency schemes). For example, purely contractual joint ventures, and co-operatives that do not run a business activity (such as co-operatives between farmers or artisans) are not eligible.

Insolvency proceedings can apply to companies which have their registered office or centre of main interests in Italy.

- Substantive tests. The debtor must be insolvent, that is, unable to pay its debts as they become due.
- How long. There is no set time limit, other than a 60-day limit within which the bankruptcy trustee must deliver the proposed liquidation programme (*see below, Effect*). Insolvency proceedings typically last from four to six years.

PLCCROSS-BORDER HANDBOOKS www.practicallaw.com/restructurehandbook 147

- Consents and approvals. The trustee can generally run insolvency proceedings under court supervision. However, it needs the consent of the creditors' committee for certain actions, including:
 - requesting the court to carry on running the business (see Question 10, Insolvency proceedings);
 - reductions of claims and settlements;
 - waivers of litigated claims and acknowledgments of third party rights;
 - cancellations of mortgages;
 - relinquishments of pledges;
 - donations; and
 - extraordinary transactions, that is, transactions which can cause the decrease or dispersal of the estate's assets.

Creditors are represented by a creditors' committee, which has between three and five members. The creditors' committee's resolutions are passed by affirmative vote of the majority of its members. A liquidation programme must be approved by a majority vote (*see below, Effect*).

- **Effect.** Insolvency proceedings have the following effects:
 - the court appoints a bankruptcy trustee. The trustee is a public officer (*pubblico ufficiale*) and is personally liable if he does not discharge his duties diligently and in the creditors' best interests. The trustee controls the company and its assets, and acts as a representative of the estate;
 - the court sets a date for a proof of claims hearing. Creditors must file their claims with the court at least 30 days before the hearing date. If they miss this deadline, they can still file their claims within 12 months of the court approving the final schedule of liabilities (*stato passivo*);
 - the trustee submits a proposed liquidation programme to a creditors' committee. This programme details the actions which the trustee plans to take (for example, the sale of certain assets or the company as a going concern, and claw-back actions);
 - the court appoints a creditors' committee, which is composed of between three and five members selected from among the creditors. The committee approves certain transactions and the liquidation programme (*see above, Consents and approvals*). Once the creditors' committee has approved the liquidation programme by a majority vote, it submits the plan to court;
 - an automatic stay prevents creditors from pursuing claims outside the court, foreclosing collateral or taking enforcement actions. The only exceptions apply to certain banking mortgage creditors and to holders of financial guarantees (see Question 4, Special proceedings to enforce a financial guarantee (procedura esecutiva su beni oggetto di garanzia finanziaria));
 - creditors' claims freeze on the filing date, other than claims for interest on secured claims, which continue to accrue during the proceedings;
 - the company's directors, officers and statutory auditors are exposed to the risk of civil or criminal charges relating to the insolvency (see Question 8);

- the trustee can claw back certain transactions (see Question 9, Insolvency proceedings); and
- the trustee can continue to run the business, although this rarely happens (see Question 10, Insolvency proceedings).
- **Conclusion.** The proceedings end when either:
 - the trustee has liquidated (or abandoned as worthless) all the debtor's assets and distributed the proceeds to creditors; or
 - there is a buyout of the bankrupt estate (concordato fallimentare) by a third party or (subject to certain timing restrictions) by the debtor itself. The debtor can only file this type of proposal within one year after the opening of insolvency proceedings, and within two years from the date on which the schedule of liabilities has become final.

Settlement with creditors (concordato preventivo)

- Objective. A settlement with creditors is a court procedure which allows a company with financial difficulties to carry out a plan to restructure its debts. The relevant legislation is the Bankruptcy Law.
- How, when, by whom and to which companies. The debtor initiates a settlement with creditors by filing with the Bankruptcy Court:
 - a petition;
 - the proposed plan;
 - an expert opinion confirming the feasibility of the plan and the truthfulness of the accounting data;
 - a valuation report which confirms that the payments that secured creditors will receive are not lower than the value of their collateral, if applicable (*see below, Consents and approvals*); and
 - certain information about its creditors and assets. This includes:
 - a list of the holders of personal or *in rem* rights owned or held by the debtor;
 - a list of all creditors, including all possible security interests;
 - the value of the debtor's assets; and
 - the debtor's financial statements.

The company's directors must approve the decision to file a petition (except in the case of a partnership, where share-holder approval is required instead).

Companies that can apply for settlements with creditors are the same as those that can apply for bankruptcy, subject to the same eligibility thresholds (*see above, Insolvency proceedings* (*fallimento*): *How, when, by whom and to which companies*).

Substantive tests. A company can apply for a settlement with creditors if it is subject to a financial crisis (*situazione di crisi*), that is, its temporary financial difficulties worsen and reach insolvency. There is no definition of financial crisis, either in legislation or case law, particularly as the procedure for settlement with creditors was reformed in 2006. A financial crisis can go from temporary financial difficulties to final insolvency (*see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento): Substantive tests*).

- **How long.** The proceedings cannot last beyond six months from the date of filing the petition. The court can extend this for an additional two months.
- **Consents and approvals.** A debtor can only file for a settlement with creditors if:
 - an expert has certified the plan as feasible; and
 - payments offered to secured creditors under the plan are not worth less than the market value of their collateral. The company must confirm this in a valuation report (see above, How, when, by whom and to which companies).

Once a debtor initiates a settlement with creditors, creditors are divided by law into secured creditors and unsecured creditors. Only unsecured creditors can vote on the plan. Secured creditors that will not be repaid in full because their collateral value is insufficient are deemed to be unsecured creditors (to the extent of their unpaid claim). The plan can only proceed if the holders of 51% (in value) of creditors allowed to vote on it have approved the plan. The plan can create classes of creditors in addition to those created by law. In that case 51% of the classes must approve the plan, by a vote of the majority of all the claims included in each class.

- Effect. Under the proposed plan, the company can offer any kind of settlement to its creditors, including payments in cash, bonds or debt/equity swaps. The plan has the following effects:
 - once the plan has been confirmed (see above, Consents and approvals), it binds the debtor and all creditors, including those who did not vote on or accept it;
 - an automatic stay prevents creditors from creating new security interests to secure their claims, or taking further action against the debtor or its assets to enforce their security or collect their debts;
 - creditors' claims freeze on the filing date, other than claims for interest on secured claims, which continue to accrue during the proceedings;
 - the company's directors, officers and statutory auditors are exposed to the risk of civil or criminal charges relating to the insolvency (see Question 8);
 - the company's business can carry on running, depending on the terms of the plan (see Question 10, Settlement with creditors).
- **Conclusion.** Once the relevant percentage of creditors have approved the plan (*see above, Consents and approvals*), the court approves or rejects the plan. Dissenting creditors and interested third parties can challenge a plan, for reasons other than its mere inconvenience. As long as the court does not uphold any challenges, it issues an order confirming the plan. The confirmation order can be appealed up to the Supreme Court of Cassation (*Corte di Cassazione*). Once the confirmation order is final, the case closes and the plan proceeds.

Debt restructuring agreement (accordo di ristrutturazione dei debiti)

• **Objective.** A debt restructuring agreement aims to allow a company with financial difficulties to restructure its debts.

Debt restructuring agreements are regulated by Article 182 *bis* of the Bankruptcy Law, and are often referred to as Article 182 *bis* agreements.

- How, when, by whom and to which companies. The debtor and its creditors negotiate and execute a debt restructuring agreement without court involvement (this can take the form of only one agreement, or more than one agreement). The debtor then files with the Bankruptcy Court and the Register of Companies:
 - the executed agreement; and
 - the opinion of an expert which confirms that the proposed debt restructuring allows the company to pay in full any creditors who did not originally comply with the agreement and whose claims have become due since the agreement was concluded.

Companies that can apply for debt restructuring agreements are the same as those that can apply for bankruptcy, subject to the same eligibility thresholds (*see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento): How, when, by whom and to which companies*).

- **Substantive tests.** The test is the same as that which applies to a settlement with creditors (*see above, Settlement with creditors (concordato preventivo): Substantive tests*).
- How long. There is no set time limit for proceedings. As the proceedings are initiated out-of-court, their length largely depends on the negotiations with creditors. Usually, the incourt phase lasts for two or three months.
- Consents and approvals. A debtor can apply for court approval of a debt restructuring agreement if 60% (in value) of its creditors approve the agreement (regardless of whether they are secured or unsecured).
- Effect. A debt restructuring agreement has the following effects:
 - for 60 days starting from the filing date of the agreement with the Register of Companies, an automatic stay prevents creditors from taking further action against the debtor or its assets to enforce security or collect their debts;
 - within the restructuring plan, the company can propose a tax settlement to restructure its tax claims, using a procedure involving the tax authorities. This process is regulated by section 182 *ter* of the Bankruptcy Law and is known as a section 182 *ter* procedure;
 - the company's directors, officers and auditors are potentially exposed to the risk of civil or criminal charges relating to the company's insolvency (*see Question 8*). This is less clear-cut than with other proceedings, because the legislation that introduced debt restructuring agreements is not in line with the criminal part of bankruptcy law. It is likely that directors could only be charged if the restructuring agreement was clearly unreasonable and failed to work, and the company later became bankrupt;
 - if the company later becomes bankrupt (see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento)), any payments, actions and guarantees that the company makes as part of the agreement will be exempt from claw-back.

PLCCROSS-BORDER HANDBOOKS www.practicallaw.com/restructurehandbook 149

• **Conclusion.** Any dissenting creditor or interested third party can challenge a debt restructuring agreement within 30 days of the debtor filing it with the Register of Companies. As long as the court does not uphold any challenges, it issues an order confirming the plan. The confirmation order can be appealed up to the Supreme Court of Cassation. Once the confirmation order is final, the case closes and the plan proceeds.

Certified recovery plan (piano attestato di risanamento)

- Objective. A certified recovery plan is an out-of-court restructuring plan. It can consist of an internal reorganisation, such as a merger or capital increase, or involve also restructuring agreements with creditors. Certified recovery plans are regulated by Article 67 of the Bankruptcy Law, so are often referred to as Article 67 plans.
- How, when, by whom and to which companies. The debtor starts the proceeding by structuring the internal reorganisation or initiating discussion with creditors, as the case may be. Companies that can apply for settlements with creditors are the same as those that can apply for bankruptcy, subject to the same eligibility thresholds (see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento): How, when, by whom and to which companies).
- Substantive tests. A certified recovery plan is available to a company which has financial difficulties. The plan must be:
 - capable of improving and balancing the debtor's financial position; and
 - certified in writing by an expert as reasonable.
- How long. A certified recovery plan is an out-of-court process, so there is no set time limit.
- **Consents and approvals.** No consents or approvals are required.
- Effect. Actions that the company carries out during the plan, such as payments or guarantees made to creditors, are exempt from claw-back if the company subsequently goes insolvent (*see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento)*). Unlike the claw-back exemption that applies during debt restructuring plans, if the company does become bankrupt, the court will scrutinise the enforceability of the exemption.

There is no standstill and the company cannot benefit from tax claim restructuring proceedings, unlike during a debt restructuring (*see above, Debt restructuring agreement (accordo di ristrutturazione dei debiti): Effect*).

• **Conclusion.** The process ends when the plan and the expert opinion have been finalised, and the plan has been carried out.

Extraordinary administration for large insolvent companies (*amministrazione straordinaria delle grandi imprese in stato di insolvenza*)

- Objective. The objective of an extraordinary administration is to restructure a large insolvent company. The process is managed by the Ministry of Productive Activities (*Ministero delle Attività Produttive*) (Ministry) and supervised by the Bankruptcy Court. There are two types of extraordinary administration proceedings:
 - Prodibis proceedings. These proceedings were introduced in 1999 under Legislative Decree number 270/99; and

 Marzano proceedings. These proceedings were introduced in 2003 by Legislative Decree number 347/2003.

Marzano proceedings are quicker, more efficient and more flexible than *Prodibis* proceedings.

- How, when, by whom and to which companies. *Prodibis* proceedings can be started by:
 - a creditor;
 - the debtor;
 - the public prosecutor; or
 - the court.

Only the debtor can initiate Marzano proceedings.

A debtor can be subject to Prodibis proceedings if:

- it is eligible for insolvency proceedings (see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento): How, when, by whom and to which companies);
- it has employed at least 200 employees for at least one calendar year preceding the administration;
- the value of its liabilities has been two-thirds greater than the value of its assets and revenues during the fiscal year preceding the administration; and
- there is potential to recover its value, through asset sales or a restructuring.

A debtor can apply for *Marzano* proceedings if it (or its group, with all companies taken together):

- is eligible for insolvency proceedings (see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento): How, when, by whom and to which companies);
- has employed at least 500 employees for at least one calendar year preceding the administration; and
- has liabilities (including guarantees) which are worth no less than EUR300 million (about US\$415.7 million).

Once the proceedings begin, the court can extend them to other insolvent companies in the debtor's group, regardless of whether they meet the eligibility requirements, provided that either:

- these companies show potential for recovery; or
- extending the proceedings facilitates the restructuring of the entire group.
- Substantive tests. The debtor must be insolvent, that is, unable to pay its debts as they become due.
- How long. Extraordinary administration proceedings cannot last longer than:
 - one year, in the case of a liquidation programme; and
 - two years, in the case of a restructuring programme.

If, at the end of these terms, the plan has only been partly executed, due to the complexity of the proceedings or the need to define employment issues, the Ministry of Economic Development can allow a 12-month extension. If the conditions persist at the end of this time period, it can grant a further 12-month extension.

See below, Effect.

• **Consents and approvals.** The Ministry must approve the proposed liquidation or restructuring programme (*see below*, *Effect*). Creditors cannot vote on or challenge the plan. Creditors are represented by a creditors' committee, but the committee's opinions are not binding on the commissioner, the court or the Ministry.

During *Marzano* proceedings, the commissioner can propose a settlement to creditors which, if accepted, concludes the proceedings (*see below, Conclusion*). This is the only time that creditor consent is required. In this case, majority consent from the creditors is required.

- **Effect.** Extraordinary administration proceedings have the following effects:
 - the Ministry appoints a commissioner to control the company and act as the representative of the insolvent estate. During *Prodibis* proceedings, there can be up to three commissioners;
 - the commissioner draws up a plan to repay the debtor's creditors. This takes the form of a liquidation programme or a restructuring programme. The commissioner can convert a liquidation programme into a restructuring programme and vice versa, provided that the Ministry approves this change within one year of having approved the initial plan;
 - an automatic stay prevents creditors from taking further action against the debtor and its assets to enforce security or collect their debts;
 - creditors must file claims by a date set by the court;
 - the company's directors, officers and statutory auditors are exposed to the risk of civil or criminal charges relating to the insolvency (see Question 8);
 - the trustee can claw back certain transactions (see Question 9, Extraordinary administration); and
 - during *Prodibis* proceedings, the debtor typically carries on running the business before being dispossessed of its assets (*see Question 10, Extraordinary administration*).
- Conclusion. The proceedings end when the commissioner completes the liquidation or restructuring programme. During *Marzano* proceedings, the proceedings can conclude earlier, if creditors accept a settlement proposed by the commissioner (*see above, Consents and approvals*). If the programme does not succeed, extraordinary administration proceedings can be converted into insolvency proceedings (*see above, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento)*).

7. What type of stakeholder has the most significant role in the outcome of the restructuring?

Extraordinary proceedings were introduced with the aim of protecting employees, so they are often started under pressure by trade unions or employees. Therefore, employees typically play a key role in this type of procedure.

The significant stakeholders vary for other types of procedure. Typically, banks which hold the bulk of a company's debt wield a lot of influence.

LIABILITY AND TRANSACTIONS

8. Are there any circumstances in which a director, parent company (domestic or foreign) or other party can be held liable for the debts of an insolvent company?

When a company is subject to rescue or insolvency proceedings (*see Question 6*), its directors, officers and statutory auditors can be held liable for any actions which contributed to the company's insolvency, on a civil or criminal basis. For example, the following actions or omissions can trigger criminal or civil liability:

- Delay in filing for insolvency. Company directors must file promptly for insolvency whenever the company becomes insolvent (see Question 6, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento)).
- Disclosing non-existent liabilities with the aim of causing detriment to creditors.
- Destroying, hiding or misappropriating the insolvent estate's assets, including accounting books.
- Failure to follow the statutory priority of payments (*see Question 2*).
- Corporate crimes committed prior to insolvency.

If, during court proceedings, the bankruptcy trustee or the court discovers that the debtor's management has taken negligent or fraudulent actions that incur criminal liability, it must report these to the public prosecutor.

A sole shareholder is fully liable for the debts of its company, unless the directors deposit for registration in the register of companies a statement which indicates the shareholder's:

- Surname and name, or denomination.
- Date and place of birth, or state of incorporation.
- Domicile, or legal address and citizenship.

If a company has more than one shareholder, they will not be held fully liable.

In addition, in extreme cases, a parent company can be liable for damages to creditors or minority shareholders which were caused by the mismanagement of its subsidiary.

9. Can transactions that are effected by a company that subsequently becomes insolvent be set aside?

Insolvency proceedings

Once insolvency proceedings begin, the following transactions executed by the debtor are automatically invalid:

- Transactions executed for no consideration during the two years preceding insolvency.
- Payments made by the debtor during the two years preceding insolvency to repay debts which only fall due after insolvency proceedings open.

- Any payments for no consideration to spouses of the debtor (if an individual entrepreneur) made while the debtor was running the business, unless the spouse was unaware of the debtor's insolvency.
- Payments to spouses of the debtor (if an individual entrepreneur) during the two years preceding insolvency, unless the spouse was unaware of the debtor's insolvency.

In addition, during insolvency proceedings, a bankruptcy trustee can claw back a transaction provided that all of the following conditions are met:

- The third party to the transaction was aware of the debtor's insolvency.
- The transaction took place within the claw-back period (*see below*).
- No exemption applies (see below).

The claw-back period begins 12 months before the insolvency order for the following transactions (among others):

- Transactions which require the debtor to perform or undertake obligations the value of which exceeds, by more than 25%, the value of payments that the creditor has made or promised to him.
- Any payment that the debtor made that was not in cash or another common payment method.
- Pledges or mortgages granted in connection with pre-existing debts that are not yet due.

The claw-back period begins six months before the insolvency order for the following transactions (among others):

- Payments of outstanding due debts.
- Any conveyance other than those made for no consideration.
- Guarantees which the debtor granted at the same time as incurring debt.
- Pledges or mortgages granted in connection with preexisting due debts.

The bankruptcy trustee cannot claw back the following transactions:

- Payments for goods and services made during the ordinary course of business.
- Payments made and guarantees granted by the debtor as part of a settlement with creditors, a debt restructuring agreement or certified recovery plan.
- Payments to employees.
- Payments of fees due in connection with filing a settlement with creditors.
- Overdraft repayments (unless these repayments have materially reduced the debtor's exposure to a bank).

See Question 6.

Extraordinary administration

A commissioner can also claw back transactions during extraordinary administration proceedings, as follows:

- Marzano proceedings. The commissioner's claw-back powers are the same as those of a bankruptcy trustee during insolvency proceedings.
- Prodibis proceedings. The commissioner can only claw back transactions as part of a Ministry-approved liquidation programme, and not if there is a restructuring plan in place.

The claw-back period for *Marzano* and *Prodibis* proceedings lasts from six to 12 months, as during insolvency proceedings (*see above, Insolvency proceedings*). An extended claw-back period applies to inter-company transactions, if the extraordinary administration involves a whole corporate group.

See Question 6, Extraordinary administration for large insolvent companies (amministrazione straordinaria delle grandi imprese in stato di insolvenza).

- 10. Please set out any conditions in which a company can continue to carry on business during insolvency or rescue proceedings. In particular:
- Who has the authority to supervise or carry on the company's business?
- What restrictions apply?

Insolvency proceedings

During insolvency proceedings, the debtor is always dispossessed of its assets and cannot continue running the business. The bankruptcy trustee can carry on running the whole or part of the business, provided that all of the following apply (*Article 104*, *Bankruptcy Law*):

- Interrupting the business would give rise to material damage, that is damage that:
 - is definite and material; and
 - affects a whole class of interested parties, such as employees, suppliers or creditors.
- Continuing the business does not create a detriment for creditors.
- The court authorises the continuation of the business, either:
 - when opening insolvency proceedings; or
 - after a later request by the trustee, which the creditors' committee has approved.

In practice, the bankruptcy trustee rarely continues running the business. If he does, he must:

- Report to the creditors' committee on a quarterly basis.
- Keep accounts.
- Cease running the business on a date set by the court, or earlier if he or the creditors' committee decide that continuing the business is no longer necessary.

See Question 6, Insolvency proceedings (fallimento).

152 PLCCROSS-BORDER HANDBOOKS www.practicallaw.com/restructurehandbook

During a settlement with creditors, the debtor can continue to run its business. In this case, the existing management typically runs the company under the supervision of a court-appointed commissioner. The court must approve extraordinary transactions, such as the company incurring new debt.

See Question 6, Settlement with creditors (concordato preventivo).

Extraordinary administration

During *Prodibis* proceedings, there is a due diligence phase before the commissioner can dispossess the debtor of its assets. During this time, the debtor typically carries on running the business. During *Marzano* proceedings, the debtor is immediately dispossessed of its business.

See Question 6, Extraordinary administration for large insolvent companies (amministrazione straordinaria delle grandi imprese in stato di insolvenza).

INTERNATIONAL CASES

11. Please state whether:

- Courts in your jurisdiction recognise insolvency and rescue procedures in other jurisdictions.
- Courts co-operate where there are concurrent proceedings in other jurisdictions.
- There are any international treaties relating to insolvency to which your jurisdiction is a signatory.
- There are any special procedures that apply to foreign creditors.
- Recognition. Italian courts automatically recognise insolvency orders made in other EU member states. Non-EU insolvency orders must be submitted to the Court of Appeal for recognition. The Court of Appeal will grant this recognition if:
 - the judge who made the order had authority to do so, based on Italian principles of jurisdiction;
 - the defendant was notified of the writ of summons in accordance with the law of the foreign jurisdiction;
 - the parties had the right to defend themselves before the foreign court in question, according to the law of the foreign jurisdiction;

- the judgment was made in accordance with the law of the foreign jurisdiction and does not breach Italian mandatory law; and
- the same case is not pending before an Italian court.
- Concurrent proceedings. Italy recognises concurrent proceedings in another EU jurisdiction. In those circumstances, there will be a main proceeding, and one or more secondary proceedings. The main proceeding must be located in the territory of the debtor's centre of main interests, and the secondary proceeding relates to the debtor's assets located in another jurisdiction.
- International treaties. Italy is a signatory to:
 - Regulation (EC) No. 1346/2000 on insolvency proceedings;
 - Regulation (EC) No. 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters; and
 - the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency 1997.

Italy is not a party to any international treaties with non-EU countries concerning insolvency proceedings.

• **Procedures for foreign creditors.** There are no special procedures that apply to foreign creditors.

PROPOSED REFORMS

12. Please summarise any proposals for reform and state whether they are likely to come into force and, if so, when.

Italian bankruptcy law was thoroughly reformed between 2005 and 2007. There are no current proposals for further reform of Italian bankruptcy law, other than with respect to the criminal part of bankruptcy law, which is currently not in line with the reformed insolvency proceedings.

CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS

Daniela Andreatta *Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe* T +39 02 4541 3800 F +39 02 4541 3801

- E dandreatta@orrick.com
- W www.orrick.com

PLC Cross-border

PRACTICAL LAW COMPANY

"An innovative know-how resource."

Martin Hughes, Partner, White & Case LLP.

PreCross-border is the essential know-how service for lawyers advising internationally in the commercial arena. Never miss an important development and confidently advise your clients on law and its practical implications. www.practicallaw.com/crossborder



RESCUED!

With lawyers strategically positioned worldwide, Orrick has the geographic reach and expertise to provide unsurpassed advice concerning companies in any troubled waters. From Tokyo to Toledo, Orrick has handled restructuring and insolvency matters wherever risks or opportunities may exist.

To learn more, please visit www.orrick.com or contact one of our restructuring partners:

DANIELA ANDREATTA Milan ROGER FRANKEL Washington, D.C. and New York

RANIERO D'AVERSA, JR. New York

MARK FENNESSY London SAAM GOLSHANI

Paris

JONATHAN GUY Washington, D.C. FREDERICK HOLDEN, JR. San Francisco THOMAS MITCHELL San Francisco

MARC LEVINSON Sacramento

LORRAINE MCGOWEN New York Washington, D.C.

SCOTT STENGEL

Washington, D.C.

ASIA | EUROPE | NORTH AMERICA

BEIJING HONG KONG SHANGHAI TAIPEI TOKYO BERLIN DÜSSELDORF FRANKFURT LONDON MILAN MOSCOW PARIS ROME LOS ANGELES NEW YORK ORANGE COUNTY PACIFIC NORTHWEST SACRAMENTO SAN FRANCISCO SILICON VALLEY WASHINGTON DC



WWW.ORRICK.COM

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | 666 Fifth Avenue | New York, NY 10103-0001 | United States | tel +1-212-506-5000 Attorney advertising. As required by New York law, we hereby advise you that prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.